UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,979	10/24/2003	William R. Campbell	PIED1110-1	1729
STACY L, TAY	7590 06/22/201 YLOR	EXAMINER		
DLA PIPER US		LEVY, NEIL S		
Suite 1100 4365 Executive	Drive	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA	92121-2133	1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/692,979	CAMPBELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	711 01111	

	NEIL LEVY	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the status of the st	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a compared as a final rejection, be a compared as a final rejection, be a compared as a compared	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	•	-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,8,10,12-16,19,21,32 and 34-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☑ will not be entered, or b) □ wil	l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , , ,	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:						
6/18/2010	/ NEIL LEVY/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1615				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: 112 issues arise; see claims 10& 19,21on the siloxane issue, while 34 is questionable as to the time given less IPM..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive; data shows SINGER knows IPM kills, whether the focus is on alcohol use or not; Singer shows alcohol to be synergistic; applicant shows some cyclic siloxanes of record to be synergistic. we see no patentable distinction. Applicant can claim claim 8 cyclic siloxanes and IPM as 100% of the composition, aplied for at least 10 minutes to mammalian subjects @ claims 1,12 &34 for reconsideration.